For the Northern District of California

26

27

28

1	
2	
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	
5	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6	
7	CHRISTOPHER WAGNER,
8	Plaintiff, No. C 13-04952 WHA
9	v.
10	DIGITAL PUBLISHING NOTICE RE ACCELERIZE NEW
11	CORPORATION, et al. MEDIA, INC.
12	Defendants.
13	
14	Immediately after our case management conference on January 21, the undersigned judge
15	became concerned that defendant Accelerize New Media, Inc., which is a California citizen for
16	diversity purposes, destroys complete diversity in this action. If both parties wish to remain in
17	federal court, it would seem that Accelerize ought to be dismissed from the action (without
18	prejudice) to preserve diversity jurisdiction. If Accelerize is not dismissed, this action will have
19	to be remanded for lack for federal subject-matter jurisdiction.
20	The Court apologizes for not addressing this issue during the hearing. Both parties shall
21	submit a response to this problem, by JANUARY 29, 2014, AT NOON. The judge caught
22	plaintiff's counsel, Daniel Balsam, in the hallway, had a brief conversation about this problem,
23	and asked him to repeat the conversation to defense counsel.
24	0.4
25	Dated: January 21, 2014. WILLIAM ALSUP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE